PLANNING COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 6 APRIL 2011

DECISIONS

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday, 6 April 2011. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact lan Senior, 03450 450 500.

1. S/0133/11- GREAT SHELFORD (THE RAILWAY TAVERN, STATION ROAD) - DEFERRED

The Committee noted that this application had been withdrawn from the agenda.

2. S/1392/10 - WILLINGHAM (37A RAMPTON ROAD) - DEFERRED

The Committee noted that this application had been withdrawn from the agenda.

3. S/2129/10 - CAMBOURNE (UC09)

The Committee approved the Reserved Matters of siting, design and external appearance of all buildings, access and landscaping, as amended by plans received on 21 and 31 January, 23 February and 23 March 2011, and required by Condition 1 of outline planning permission S/1371/92/O dated 20 April 1994, subject to the additional Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).

4. S/0454/11 - OAKINGTON (9 STATION ROAD)

The Committee approved the application, subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).

5. S/0045/11 - FOXTON (7 MORTIMERS LANE)

Upon the Chairman's casting vote, the Committee approved the application subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).

6. S/2155/10 - GIRTON (11 MAYFIELD ROAD)

The Committee approved the application, as amended by plans referenced 2462-04-Rev A and 2462-05-Rev A date stamped 26 January 2010, subject to the prior completion of a Section 106 Legal Agreement in line with the Heads of Terms contained in paragraph 58 of the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities) with an escalator provision to protect the Local Planning Authority's interests and those of the community should viability of the scheme improve prior to completion of the development, and subject also to the Conditions set out in that report.

7. S/0154/11 - HASLINGFIELD (WEST OF CANTELUPE ROAD, CANTELUPE FARM)

The Committee gave officers delegated powers to approve the application, subject to the Local Planning Authority being satisfied with the routing of construction traffic, the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities), and comments awaited from, and relevant Conditions proposed by, the Acting Corporate Manager (Health and Environmental Services).

8. S/1792/10 - LONGSTANTON (THE GRANGE, ST MICHAELS)

The Committee approved the application contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Having visited the site, Members agreed the reason for approval as being that the proposal would not harm the historic fabric of the Listed Building, and would maintain its special character and appearance.

9. S/1793/10 - LONGSTANTON (THE GRANGE, ST MICHAELS)

The Committee refused the application as amended by drawings 200F and 205F for the reason set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).

10. S/2267/10 - HEYDON (HILL FARM HOUSE, 20 CHISHILL ROAD)

The Committee approved the application as amended by revised site plan date stamped 15 February 2011, subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities) (Condition 8 being amended to refer to 'window' instead of 'windows') and an extra Condition relation to design of the carport.

11. S/1728/10 - MELDRETH (FIELDGATE NURSERIES, STATION ROAD)

The Committee noted that this application had been withdrawn from the agenda.

12. S/0393/11 - SHEPRETH (12 STATION ROAD)

The Committee approved the application contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Members agreed the reason for approval as being that the modest extension would improve the appearance of the dwelling and not have an overbearing impact on the living area of the adjacent property.

13. PUBLIC SPEAKING PROTOCOL - REVIEW OF ARRANGEMENTS AT PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETINGS

The Committee endorsed amendments to the Protocol for public speaking at meetings of the Planning Committee to reflect the following points:

- To give the Chairman formal and sole authority to allow more than one public speaker for or against an application.
- At the sole discretion of the Committee Chairman, to allow up to one objector, one supporter, the Parish Council and local District Councillor(s) from adjacent parishes to the parish containing the relevant application site may be granted speaking rights.
- The Committee Chairman may allow a local District Councillor from a single-councillor ward and unable to attend in person to appoint another South Cambridgeshire District Councillor, with appropriate knowledge of the site and other issues, to speak on his or her behalf.
- To emphasise the need for natural justice.

The Committee rejected a proposal that would have allowed Parish Council clerks duly authorised by their Council to address the Committee. Instead, Members reaffirmed the current practice of allowing only elected and co-opted members (with the authority of their parish councils) to address the Committee.